

POSITIVSTELLENSATZ AND FLAT FUNCTIONALS ON PATH *-ALGEBRAS.

STANISLAV POPOVYCH

ABSTRACT. We consider the class of non-commutative $*$ -algebras which are path algebras of doubles of quivers with the natural involutions. We study the problem of extending positive truncated functionals on such $*$ -algebras. An analog of the solution of the truncated Hamburger moment problem [Fia91] for path $*$ -algebras is presented and non-commutative positivstellensatz is proved. We also present an analog of the flat extension theorem of Curto and Fialkow for this class of algebras. **KEYWORDS:** right Gröbner basis, multiplicative basis, path algebra, flat functional, moment problem.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A be a $*$ -algebra with a generating set $G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\}$ and assume that there is a linear basis $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of words in the generators such that $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ is multiplicatively closed. We will consider $\mathcal{B}$ as linearly ordered with respect to the degree-lexicographic order induced by a linear order on G . Then a *moment sequence* $\alpha = (\alpha_b)_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$ ($\alpha_b \in \mathbb{C}$) gives rise to an infinite matrix M_α with rows and columns indexed by $\mathcal{B}$ such that $(M_\alpha)_{b_1, b_2} = \alpha_{b_1 b_2^*}$, which is called the *moment matrix* of α . The uppermost left $n(t) \times n(t)$ -corner M_t of M where $n(t)$ is the number of words of length less or equal to t is called the *truncated moment matrix* of α of order t . The matrix M_t depends only on α_{2t} . Thus we can write $M_t = M(\alpha_{2t})$. We will denote by A_t the subspace of A generated by the words b of length less or equal to t .

When A is the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $x_j^* = x_j$ the classical (full) moment problem asks for which $\alpha = (\alpha_b)_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$ there is a representing measure μ , i.e. positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for each monomial $b = b(x_1, \dots, x_n)$:

$$\alpha_b = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

The question if there is μ with support contained in set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called K -moment problem. The classical Hamburger theorem states that M has a representing measure if and only if M is positive semidefinite. However, the truncated moment problem and the truncated K -moment problem are more delicate [Fia96, Fia05, Fia08]. We mention the general solution of the truncated K -moment problem in terms of extensions (called truncated version of Riesz-Haviland in [Fia91]) and the solution in case of flat α (see [Fia96]) because of their relevance to the present paper.

Theorem(Curto-Fialkow'2008). α_t has K -representing measure if and only if α_t admits K -positive extension α_{t+1} .

⁰ 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 52A20, 46L89; Secondary 06F25

Here K -positive means that the *Riesz functional* $L_{\alpha_t}: \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $L_{\alpha_t}(\sum_b \beta_b b) = \sum_b \alpha_b \beta_b$ attains positive values on $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_t$ such that $p(K) \subseteq [0, +\infty)$.

For non-commutative $*$ -algebras A the natural analog of measures are representations on Hilbert spaces. We will say that α (or M) is representable if there is a representation $\pi: A \rightarrow L(H)$ on a pre-Hilbert space H and $\xi \in H$ such that

$$\alpha_b = \langle \pi(b)\xi, \xi \rangle.$$

If J is an ideal in A and $\pi(J) = 0$ then we will say that α is A/J -representable. It is easy to see that for the non-commutative *free* $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}$ the full moment problem has the same answer as in polynomial algebra case i.e. the full moment problem is solvable iff M is positive semidefinite. An answer to the truncated moment problem can be given in terms of extensibility (see [Put04]). More precisely, a sequence α_t is representable iff it admits an extension α_{t+1} such that M_{t+1} is positive definite. Moreover, if this is the case, there is a representation π acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert space and a vector ξ such that $\alpha_b = \langle \pi(b)\xi, \xi \rangle$ for $|b| \leq t$. The same holds for $\mathcal{F}/J$ -moment problem for some other ideals J , for example, for ideals defining spherical isometries (see [Put04]). The complete analog of this statement is not true for more general ideals. For instance, there are ideals $J \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F}/J$ has only non-trivial representations in unbounded operators. For any such representation π the sequence $\alpha_t = (\pi(b))_{|b| \leq t}$ clearly has a positive extension but there is no representation τ in *bounded* operators with $\tau(J) = \{0\}$ which define α_t . To see other possible pitfalls consider the $*$ -algebra $A = \mathbb{C}\langle x, x^* \mid (xx^*)^n = 0 \rangle$. If π is a representation of the free algebra $\mathcal{F}$ and $2t < n$ then the matrix M_t corresponding to $\alpha_t = (\pi(b))_{|b| \leq t}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is the same as the matrix M_t corresponding to α_t in A . Hence there is a positive matrix M_t for every t . Since A has no non-trivial representations the truncated sequence α_t is never A -representable.

The relation between decompositions of commutative polynomials into sums of squares and the moment problem is well known. Decompositions of non-commutative polynomials into sum of hermitian squares in the free $*$ -algebras was obtained in [Hel02] and for some other classes of algebras in [Put04, Sch08]. In the present paper we study the non-commutative moment problem for path $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ associated with finite graphs Γ . Following ideas of [Put04], we show in Section 3 that a moment sequence α_t is representable if and only if there is a positive semidefinite extension α_{t+1} . Moreover, we show that every hermitian element f which is mapped to a positive semidefinite operator by every finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is a sum of hermitian squares. Together these facts may be seen as an abstract solution to the non-commutative (full) moment problem for $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$.

The other case when the K -moment problem for the polynomial algebra has an especially tractable solution is the case of *flat* data α_t which means that $\text{rank } M(\alpha_t) = \text{rank } M(\alpha_{t-1})$. In this case α_t admits a representing measure if and only if M_t is positive semidefinite [Fia96]. Moreover, there is an r -atomic representing measure with $r = \text{rank } M(\alpha_t)$. This is a consequence of the following “flat extension theorem”.

Theorem(Curto-Fialkow’1996). *Every flat L_{α_t} on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{2t}$ can be extended to a flat L on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{2t+2}$.*

In [Lau05, Lau08] M. Laurent has given an algebraic approach to Curto and Fialkow flat extension theorem. Gröbner bases for polynomial algebras appear in several technical constructions in these papers. In Section 4 we translate ideas of M. Schweighofer's unpublished manuscript on Gröbner bases approach to Curto and Fialkow flat extension theorem into non-commutative setting. We prove the following analog of Curto and Fialkow flat extension theorem: every flat truncated functional L_t on $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$ admits a flat extension L_{t+1} . In particular, every positive truncated functional admits an extension to a positive functional on $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ and thus via GNS construction defines a finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$. It is interesting in view of Lance-Tapper conjecture (see Section 2 and [Lan97, Tap99]) to obtain an analog of the extension theorem for flat functionals supported on $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma/J$ where J is an ideal generated by paths (i.e. $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma/J$ is a monomial $*$ -algebra). In the last section we give some conditions for truncated functionals on free $*$ -algebra to have a flat extension.

In a forthcoming paper we generalize the extension theorem for a class of $*$ -algebras containing all monomial $*$ -algebras. In present paper we restrict to the class of path $*$ -algebras which makes it possible to minimize the use of Gröbner basis theory and keep formulations of main results close to classical (commutative) analogues. Note that Gröbner basis theory for right ideals is much simpler for path $*$ -algebras than for monomial and commutative polynomial algebras (see [Gre00]).

2. DEFINITIONS.

Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be a *quiver*, that is a directed graph with a finite set of vertices Γ_0 and a finite set of arrows Γ_1 . Every arrow b has the unique origin vertex $o(b)$ and terminal vertex $t(b)$. For vertices $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma_0$ we denote by $\Gamma(e_1, e_2)$ the set of arrows b with $o(b) = e_1$, $t(b) = e_2$. A path p in Γ is a finite sequence of arrows (possibly empty) $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ such that $o(b_{j+1}) = t(b_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. The number k is called the *length* of p . The unique empty path at vertex e will be denoted also by e .

Consider the *double* Γ^* of the graph Γ which is the graph with the same set of vertices $\Gamma_0^* = \Gamma_0$ and doubled number of arrows $\Gamma_1^* = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_1^*$ where $\Gamma_1^* = \{b^* \mid b \in \Gamma_1\}$ and if $b \in \Gamma(e_1, e_2)$ then $b^* \in \Gamma^*(e_2, e_1)$.

The set consisting of paths $\mathcal{B}$ in a graph Γ together with zero element 0 is a semigroup with multiplication given by concatenation of paths (the product $b_1 b_2$ is 0 if $t(b_1) \neq o(b_2)$). In particular, for every vertex $e \in \Gamma_0$ this semigroup contains an idempotent (denoted also by e) corresponding to the trivial path at vertex e . The semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ is called *path algebra* of Γ it has a linear basis consisting of $\mathcal{B}$. The path algebra of Γ^* is a $*$ -algebra with the involution which maps b to b^* . We will denote this $*$ -algebra by $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ in sequel.

Consider an arbitrary order on the vertices $v_1 < \dots < v_r$ and arrows $v_r < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ such that every arrow is greater than every vertex. Having an order on $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$ we can equip the set of paths $\mathcal{B}$ with the left degree-lexicographic order. In particular, for a graph Γ with one vertex and n arrows $x_1, \dots, x_n$ the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is the free $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \rangle$.

Consider the $*$ -algebra

$$A_{w_1, \dots, w_m} = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \mid w_1 = 0, \dots, w_m = 0, w_1^* = 0, \dots, w_m^* = 0 \rangle$$

where w_j are words in x_j, x_j^* . We can always assume that the set $\{w_1, \dots, w_m, w_1^*, \dots, w_m^*\}$ is reduced, i.e. no word is a subword of some other word. Such algebras $A_{w_1, \dots, w_m}$ constitute a subclass of *monomial* algebras. A word w is called *unshrinkable* if w can not be presented as udd^* or dd^*u for some word u and non-empty word d . We call $A_{w_1, \dots, w_m}$ a Lance-Tapper $*$ -algebra if every w_j is unshrinkable. It was conjectured by Lance and Tapper that a $*$ -algebra $A_{w_1, \dots, w_m}$ has a faithful representation on a Hilbert space if and only if it is a Lance-Tapper $*$ -algebra (see [Lan97, Tap99, Pop02]). Moreover, they conjectured that every Lance-Tapper $*$ -algebra has a separating family of finite dimensional representation. The following simple lemma shows that conjecture is true for trivial monomial ideals.

Lemma 1. *For any quiver Γ the path $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ has a separating family of finite dimensional representations.*

Proof. For a path $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ there is a $*$ -isomorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ with a subalgebra in $M_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \otimes M_n$ where $n = |\Gamma_0|$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a free $*$ -algebra with free generators x_b corresponding to each $b \in \Gamma_1$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be enumeration of all vertices of Γ . Under the isomorphism ϕ arrow $b \in \Gamma(e_i, e_j)$ maps to $x_b \otimes E_{ij}$ and idempotent e_i maps to E_{ii} . Since the free algebra $\mathcal{F}$ has a separating family of finite dimensional representation (see [Avi82, Pop02]) the same is true for any path $*$ -algebra. $\square$

There is a well known bijective correspondence between representation of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ and representations of Γ in Hilbert spaces. Recall that a representation Π of Γ in a category of Hilbert spaces is a mapping which maps each vertex $e \in \Gamma_0$ into a Hilbert space H_e and each arrow $b \in \Gamma(e_1, e_2)$ into a linear operator $\Pi(b): H_{e_1} \rightarrow H_{e_2}$. To a representation Π of Γ there corresponds a representation π of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ in the Hilbert space $H = \oplus_{i=1}^n H_{e_i}$ such that for $b \in \Gamma(e_i, e_j)$ the operator $\pi(b): H \rightarrow H$ is given by the block-matrix $\Pi(b) \otimes E_{ij}$ and $\pi(b^*)$ is defined as the adjoint operator to $\pi(b)$. Conversely, given a representation π of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ in a Hilbert space we put $H_e = \pi(e)(H)$ (here e is the trivial path at vertex e). Since for $b \in \Gamma(e_1, e_2)$, $e_1 b e_2 = b$ one can check that the operator $\pi(b)$ maps subspace H_{e_2} into H_{e_1} . Thus mapping $e \mapsto H_e$, $b \mapsto \pi(b)|_{H_{e_2}}$ defines a representation of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$.

3. SUMS OF SQUARES DECOMPOSITION.

Enumerating the vertices Γ_1 as $b_1, \dots, b_n$ we get a one-to-one correspondence between the class of finite dimensional representations $\text{Rep}_{f.d.} \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ and the class Z of n -tuples of operators $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert spaces such that

- (1) $X_i X_j = 0$ if $b_i b_j = 0$,
- (2) $X_i^2 = X_i$ if $b_i = b_i^2$,
- (3) $X_i X_j = X_i$ if $b_i b_j = b_i$,
- (4) $X_i X_j = X_j$ if $b_i b_j = b_j$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the free $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}$ with the generating set $X = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_1^*$ by the $*$ -ideal generated by relations (1)–(4). Clearly, for any unitary operator $U: H \rightarrow K$ between two Hilbert spaces n -tuple $(U A_1 U^*, \dots, U A_n U^*) \in Z$.

Hence Z is closed under joint unitary transformation. The following lemma is a direct consequence of [Put04] and Lemma 1. Recall that $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$ denote the subspace generated by paths of length no greater than d .

Lemma 2. *For any $d \geq 1$ the cone $\mathcal{C}_{2d}(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma) = \text{co}\{ff^* \mid f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d\}$ is closed in $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d}$. Here co denote convex hull.*

Proof. The ideal $I(Z)$ in the free algebra $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of $p(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ such that $p(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*) = 0$ for all $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in Z$ coincides with the kernel of the canonical surjection $\psi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ by Lemma 1. The image $\psi(\text{co}\{ff^* \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_d\})$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{2d}(Z)$ in [Put04], is closed in $\mathcal{P}_{2d} = \psi(\mathcal{F}_{2d})$ by [Put04, Lemma 3.2]. Identifying $\mathcal{F}/I(Z)$ with $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ via ψ we have that $\mathcal{P}_{2d} = (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2d}(Z) = \mathcal{C}_{2d}(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)$. Hence, the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let H be a pre-Hilbert space, $d \geq 1$ and $H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq H_d = H$ be subspaces with H_0 finite dimensional. Assume that for $0 \leq t \leq d-1$ we are given a linear map $\pi_t: (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t \rightarrow L(H_{d-t}, H)$ such that*

- (1) *for every $f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t$ and $0 \leq s \leq d-t$, $\pi_t(f)(H_{d-t-s}) \subseteq H_{d-s}$.*
- (2) *for every $0 \leq r \leq t$ and $g \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{t-r}$ we have $\pi_{t-r}(g)|_{H_{d-t}} = \pi_t(g)$. Thus we can omit subscript t in the notation $\pi_t(f)$.*
- (3) *for every $f_1 \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{t_1}$, $f_2 \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{t_2}$ with $t = t_1 + t_2 \leq d-1$ we have $\pi(f_1 f_2)|_{H_{d-t}} = \pi(f_1)\pi(f_2)|_{H_{d-t}}$*
- (4) *For $f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t$ and $u, v \in H_{d-t}$,*

$$\langle \pi(f)u, v \rangle = \langle u, \pi(f^*)v \rangle.$$

Then there is a finite dimensional subspace $H' \subseteq H$ with $\dim H' \leq \dim H_0 \dim(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$, $H_0 \subseteq H'$ and a representation τ of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ on H' such that

$$\pi(a)|_{H_0} = \tau(a)|_{H_0} \text{ for all } a \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{d-1}.$$

Proof. Put $H' = \{\pi(f)H_0 \mid f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d\}$ and $K = \{\pi(f)H_0 \mid f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{d-1}\}$ and $V = \{\pi(f)H_0 \mid f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{d-2}\}$ which are finite dimensional subspaces of H . We will define a representation Π of Γ . For $e \in \Gamma_0$ $\pi(e)$ is a projection defined on H . Put $H_e = \pi(e)H'$ and $K_e = \pi(e)K$. Let $K_e^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of K_e in H_e , i.e. $H_e = K_e \oplus K_e^\perp$.

For $b \in \Gamma_1(e_1, e_2)$ put $\Pi(b)|_{K_{e_2}} = \pi(b)|_{K_{e_2}}$ and $\Pi(b)|_{K_{e_2}^\perp} = 0$. Since $\pi(e_1)\pi(b) = \pi(b)$ on H_{d-1} we have $\Pi(b): H_{e_2} \rightarrow H_{e_1}$ and $K_{e_2}^\perp \subseteq \ker \Pi(b)$. Hence for the adjoint operator $\Pi(b)^*$ we have

$$\Pi(b)^*: H_{e_1} \rightarrow H_{e_2} \text{ and } \text{Ran } \Pi(b)^* \subseteq K_{e_2}.$$

From this follows that

$$(5) \quad \pi(b^*)|_{K_{e_1} \cap V} = \Pi(b)^*|_{K_{e_1} \cap V}.$$

Indeed, for any $v \in K_{e_1} \cap V$ and $w \in K_{e_2}$ we have

$$\langle w, \Pi(b)^*v \rangle = \langle \Pi(b)w, v \rangle = \langle \pi(b)w, v \rangle = \langle w, \pi(b^*)v \rangle.$$

Since $\Pi(b)^*v \in K_{e_2}$, $\pi(b^*)v \in K_{e_2}$ and $w \in K_{e_2}$ is arbitrary we get $\Pi(b)^*v = \pi(b^*)v$. Let τ be the representation of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ corresponding to Π . Then τ is defined on the

Hilbert space $\oplus_e H_e$ which we will identify with H' . By (5) and induction in the length of path $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we get that $\tau(b)|_{H_0} = \pi(b)|_{H_0}$ for all paths b with $|b| \leq d-1$. $\square$

Remark 4. *If π is a (possibly unbounded) representation on a pre-Hilbert space H and $H_0 \subseteq H$ is a finite dimensional subspace then with $H_t = \pi((\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t)H_0$ we have for $f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t$, $\pi(f)(H_{d-t}) \subseteq H_d$ and conditions (1)-(4) are satisfied. Hence there is finite dimensional representation τ such that $\pi(f)|_{H_0} = \tau(f)|_{H_0}$ for $f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$.*

The following corollary is an analog of the solution of the truncated Hamburger moment problem from [Fia91].

Corollary 5. *A truncated linear functional L_d on path $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d}$ admits an extension to a positive functional L on $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ if and only if it admits an extension to positive functional $L_{d+1}: (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $K = \{f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d+2} \mid L_{d+1}(ff^*) = 0\}$. Since L_{d+1} is positive $|L_{d+1}(fg^*)| \leq L_{d+1}(ff^*)^{1/2} L_{d+1}(gg^*)^{1/2}$ and K is the null space of the sesquilinear form $\langle f, g \rangle = L_{d+1}(fg^*)$ on the space $(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d+2}$. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces an inner product on quotient space $H = (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{d+1}/K$. Let $H_t = (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t + K \subseteq H$. Let H_0 be one dimensional subspace generated by $\xi = 1 + K$. Given $f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t$ define $\pi_t(f)$ as the restriction of right multiplication by f operator on H_{d+1-t} . It is routine to check conditions (1)-(4) of Lemma 3. Thus there is a finite dimensional representation τ such that for all $f, g \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$ we have $L_d(fg^*) = \langle \pi_d(f)\xi, \pi_d(g)\xi \rangle = \langle \tau(f)(\xi), \tau(g)\xi \rangle = \langle \tau(fg^*)(\xi), \xi \rangle$. Which proves that L has the positive extension $\langle \tau(\cdot)(\xi), \xi \rangle$. $\square$

Let $\text{Sym}_d(\Gamma)$ be the set of hermitian elements f of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ with $\deg(f) \leq d$. By straightforward reformulation of [Put04, Lemma 3.1] combined with Lemma 3 we get the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *For every $d \geq 0$ there is a basis $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$ of $\text{Sym}_d(\Gamma)$, a sequence $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k$ of representations of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ on a finite dimensional Hilbert space H and a vector $\xi \in H$ such that for all i, j :*

$$(6) \quad \langle \pi_i(\beta_j)\xi, \xi \rangle = \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's symbol.

Following [Put04] we can introduce a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\text{Sym}_d(\Gamma)$ by the formula

$$(7) \quad \|f\| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\langle \pi_i(f)\xi, \xi \rangle|$$

for $f \in \text{Sym}_d(\Gamma)$. For even d and any $h \in \mathcal{C}_d(\Gamma)$, $\|h\|$ can be expressed as a value of the linear functional $N(h) = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \pi_i(h)\xi, \xi \rangle$, i.e. $\|h\| = N(h)$.

The following theorem shows that a hermitian element of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ which is positive semidefinite in every finite dimensional representation is a sum of hermitian squares.

Theorem 7. *Let a hermitian $q \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{d-1}$ be such that for any representation π of $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ with $\dim \pi \leq \dim(\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$, $\pi(q)$ is positive semidefinite then $q \in \mathcal{C}_{2d}$.*

Proof. Assume that $q \notin \mathcal{C}_{2d}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{2d}$ is closed in $\text{Sym}_d(\Gamma)$ Minkowski's separation theorem implies that there is a linear functional $L_0: (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $L_0(q) < 0 \leq \min_{c \in \mathcal{C}_{2d}} L_0(c)$. Take $\epsilon > 0$ then for $L = L_0 + \epsilon N$ we have $L(ff^*) > 0$ for $f \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d \setminus \{0\}$. Hence $\langle f, g \rangle = L(fg^*)$ defines a scalar product on $H = (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$.

Let $H_0 = \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_t = (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t$. For $g \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_t$ let $R_g: H_{d-t} \rightarrow H_d$ denote the operator of multiplication by g from the right. By Lemma 3 there is a finite dimensional representation in Hilbert space H' such that $H_0 \subseteq H'$ and $\dim H' \leq \dim (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_d$ such that $\tau(g)1 = R_g 1 = g$ for all $g \in (\mathcal{A}_\Gamma)_{d-1}$. Hence $L(ff^*) = \langle R_f 1, 1 \rangle = \langle \tau(f)1, 1 \rangle \geq 0$. □

4. FLAT FUNCTIONALS.

In this section we study which truncated positive semidefinite functional on a path $*$ -algebra can be extended to a positive semidefinite functional on the whole algebra with the moment matrix of finite rank. We need some standard definitions from Gröbner basis theory. We will consider associative algebras over field of complex numbers. For an algebra A to have Gröbner basis theory, A must have a multiplicative linear basis $\mathcal{B}$ (i.e. for every $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, $b_1 b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ or $b_1 b_2 = 0$) with an admissible order on $\mathcal{B}$ (see [Gre00]). An order $>$ is called *admissible* if

A0. $>$ is well-order on $\mathcal{B}$.

A1. For all $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathcal{B}$, if $b_1 > b_2$ then $b_1 b_3 > b_2 b_3$ if both $b_1 b_3$ and $b_2 b_3$ are nonzero.

A2. For all $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathcal{B}$, if $b_1 > b_2$ then $b_3 b_1 > b_3 b_2$ if both $b_3 b_1$ and $b_3 b_2$ are nonzero.

A3. For all $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathcal{B}$, if $b_1 = b_2 b_3 b_4$ then $b_1 \geq b_3$.

Following [Gre00] we say that A has an ordered multiplicative basis $(\mathcal{B}, >)$ if $\mathcal{B}$ is a multiplicative basis and $>$ is an admissible order on $\mathcal{B}$. It was shown in [Gre00] that every algebra with ordered multiplicative basis is a quotient of a path algebra by 2-nomial ideal. By 2-nomial ideal we mean an ideal generated by some elements of the form p or $p - q$ where $p, q \in \mathcal{B}$. An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which is a quotient of a path algebra by the ideal generated by a set of paths is called *monomial*.

Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i b_i$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and b_i are distinct elements of $\mathcal{B}$. The tip of x , denoted by $\text{Tip}(x)$, is the largest element in $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$, i.e. $\text{Tip}(x) = b_j$ for b_j such that $b_j \geq b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

For $k \geq 1$ put $S_k = \{a \in \mathcal{B} \mid |a| \leq k\}$. Denote by V_k the linear span of S_k and by V_k^* the dual vector space of V_k . Note that V_k is the same thing as A_k in the preceding sections. We change the notations to avoid ambiguous notation A_k^* .

Definition 8. Given $L_k \in V_{2k}^*$ define $B_{L_k}: V_k \times V_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $B_{L_k}(p, q) = L_k(pq^*)$. Functional L_k with $k > 1$ (and sesquilinear form B_{L_k}) will be called a *flat truncated functional* on A (resp. *flat sesquilinear form* on A) if L_k is hermitian (i.e. $L_k(a^*) = \overline{L_k(a)}$ for all $a \in A$) and $\text{rank } B_{L_k} = \text{rank } B_{L_{k-1}}$ with L_{k-1} being the restriction of L_k to V_{k-1} .

Note that the sesquilinear form B_{L_k} is given by the moment matrix M_α (where $\alpha_b = L_k(b)$) in the basis S_k of vector space V_k .

Clearly $\ker B_{L_k} \cap V_{k-1} \subseteq \ker B_{L_{k-1}}$ and we have linear maps π and i such that

$$(8) \quad V_{k-1}/\ker B_{L_{k-1}} \xleftarrow{\pi} V_{k-1}/(\ker B_{L_k} \cap V_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{i} V_k/\ker B_{L_k}$$

In the above diagram dimension is weakly decreasing from left to right. Thus L_k is flat implies that π and i are isomorphisms. Hence L_k is flat if and only if

$$(9) \quad \ker B_{L_k} \cap V_{k-1} = \ker B_{L_{k-1}},$$

$$(10) \quad V_k = V_{k-1} + \ker B_{L_k}.$$

We have the following analog of *recursively generated* property of $\ker B_{L_k}$ studied for commutative polynomial algebras in [Fia08].

Lemma 9. *Let $A = \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ be a path $*$ -algebra. If L_k is flat then for every $p \in \ker B_{L_k}$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{Tip}(p)w \neq 0$ and $pw \in V_k$ we have $pw \in \ker B_{L_k}$.*

Proof. We can assume that w belongs to the set S_1 (otherwise decompose $w = w_1 \dots w_s$ and use induction on s). Given $v \in V_k$ we can decompose $v = h + g$ where $h \in V_{k-1}$, $g \in \ker B_{L_k}$. Hence

$$L_k(pwv^*) = L_k(p(wh^*)) + \overline{L_k(g(pw)^*)} = L_k(p(wh^*)) = 0.$$

The second equality follows from $g \in \ker B_{L_k}$ and $pw \in V_k$ and the last one follows from $p \in \ker B_{L_k}$ and $wh^* \in V_k$. $\square$

We will show that any flat truncated functional L_k on a path $*$ -algebra A can be extended to a hermitian functional on A . For this we need basic facts about right Gröbner bases for right ideals. There is a general theory of right Gröbner basis for right modules with coherent bases over algebras with ordered multiplicative basis [Gre00]. Recall that a linear basis $\mathcal{M}$ of a right module M over an algebra A with ordered multiplicative basis $(\mathcal{B}, >)$ is *coherent* if for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ either $mb \in \mathcal{M}$ or $mb = 0$. A well-order $\succ$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is a *right admissible order* on $\mathcal{M}$ if

- (1) For all $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, if $m_1 \succ m_2$ then $m_1b \succ m_2b$ if both m_1b and m_2b are nonzero.
- (2) For all $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, if $b_1 > b_2$ then $m_1b \succ m_2b$ if both mb_1 and mb_2 are nonzero.

If $x \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \{0\}$ then $x = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j m_j$ where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $m_1, \dots, m_s$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{M}$. The tip of x is $\text{Tip}(x) = m_i$ such that $m_j \succeq m_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Recall the algorithm from [Gre00] of constructing a right Gröbner basis for a submodule M with a coherent ordered basis $(\mathcal{M}, \succ)$ of a right projective module over an path $*$ -algebra A with an ordered multiplicative basis $(\mathcal{B}, >)$. This algorithm will be needed in the proof of Theorem 10. Assume that M is generated by a finite set of elements $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$. For a subset $X \in M$ denote $\text{Tip}(X) = \{\text{Tip}(x) \mid x \in X\}$ and $\text{NonTip}(X) = \mathcal{M} \setminus \text{Tip}(X)$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a right submodule of $\mathcal{M}$ then a subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is called a *right Gröbner basis* of $\mathcal{N}$ if $\text{Tip}(\mathcal{G})$ generate $\mathcal{N}$ as a submodule. Consider the following algorithm of transforming the subset H .

- (1) Remove 0 from H .

- (2) Put $\mathcal{T}_H = \{\text{Tip}(h) \mid \text{for all } h' \in H \setminus \{h\}, \text{Tip}(h') \text{ does not left divide } \text{Tip}(h)\}$.
- (3) For every $t \in \mathcal{T}_H$ choose $h \in H$ such that $\text{Tip}(h) = t$ and renumber so that these elements are $h_1, \dots, h_s$. If $s = n$ we are done. Otherwise denote by $Q^\dagger$ the right submodule generated by $h_1, \dots, h_s$.
- (4) For every $i = s+1, \dots, n$ decompose $h_i = h_i^\dagger + \text{Norm}(h_i)$ using $M = Q^\dagger \oplus \text{Span}(\text{NonTip}(Q^\dagger))$, i.e. using $h_1, \dots, h_s$ reduce h_i . After finite steps of reductions we get $\text{Norm}(h_i)$.
- (5) Put $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_s, \text{Norm}(h_{s+1}), \dots, \text{Norm}(h_n)\}$.

Here reduction means the following. If $h_i = \sum \alpha_i m_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_i \in \mathcal{M}$ and for some i and some $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, $\text{Tip}(h_k)$ left divides m_i (i.e. there is $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $m_i = \alpha \text{Tip}(h_k)b$) then we can make a reduction which means that we replace m_i in the decomposition of h_i above by the element $m_i - \alpha h_k b$. The total reduction of h_i by H is a sequence of reductions by H that can not be further reduced by H . It was proved in [Gre00, Proposition 4.2] that any finitely generated submodule $\mathcal{N}$ of a projective module over a path algebra has a right Gröbner basis which can be computed by the above algorithm.

An algebra A with an ordered multiplicative basis $(\mathcal{B}, >)$ is a right projective module over A with respect to the multiplication from the right and putting $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}$ we get a coherent module which has an admissible order $\succ$ equal to $>$. We will denote this module by A_r . In particular, every right ideal J is a submodule of A_r and hence has a right Gröbner basis.

Theorem 10. *Let $L_k \in V_{2k}^*$ be a flat functional on a path $*$ -algebra $A = \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$. Let J be the right ideal generated by $\ker B_{L_k}$ in A . Then there is a right Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \ker B_{L_k}$.*

Proof. Take any generating set $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ of $\ker B_{L_k}$. If $\text{Tip}(h_i)$ left divides $\text{Tip}(h_j)$, i.e. $\text{Tip}(h_j) = \text{Tip}(h_i)b$ where $b \in \mathcal{B}$ then $h_j - h_i b \in \ker B_{L_k}$ since $h_i b \in \ker B_{L_k}$ by Lemma 9. This proves that step (4) of the algorithm of computing right Gröbner basis transforms elements of $\ker B_{L_k}$ into elements of $\ker B_{L_k}$. All other steps of the algorithm, clearly, produce no new elements. Thus obtained right Gröbner bases will be contained in $\ker B_{L_k}$. □

Theorem 11. *Any flat truncated functional L_k on a path $*$ -algebra $A = \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$ has an extension to a linear functional on A such that*

$$(11) \quad \text{rank } B_{L_k} = \text{rank } B_L.$$

An extension which satisfies (11) is unique and positive semidefinite if L_k is such.

Proof. Let J be the right ideal generated by $\ker B_{L_k}$ in A . Let us denote by $\widetilde{B_{L_k}}$ the induced sesquilinear form on $V_k / \ker B_{L_k}$. The natural map $\phi: V_k / \ker B_{L_k} \rightarrow A/J$ is linear. The map ϕ is onto since modulo $\ker B_{L_k}$ each element of V_k is equivalent to an element of V_{k-1} and hence, by induction, modulo right ideal J each element of A is equivalent to some element from V_{k-1} . The same inductive argument also shows that for every $b \in S_{2k}$ there are $v \in V_{k-1}$, $g_t \in \ker B_{L_k}$ and $b_t \in S_k$ ($t = 1, \dots, l$) such

that

$$(12) \quad b = v + g_1 b_1 + \dots + g_l b_l.$$

Hence

$$(13) \quad L_k(b) = L_k(v) + B_{L_k}(g_1, b_1^*) + \dots + B_{L_k}(g_l, b_l^*) = L_k(v).$$

By Theorem 10 we can find a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ for the right ideal J such that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \ker B_{L_k}$. If $x \in V_k$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ (i.e. $x \in J$) then since J is a right Gröbner basis

$$(14) \quad x = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j g_j b_j$$

where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, we can assume without loss of generality that $\text{Tip}(g_j)b_j \neq 0$ and $g_j b_j \in V_k$. Indeed, by the definition of a right Gröbner basis, there is $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{Tip}(x) = \alpha \text{Tip}(g)b$ for some $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Thus $\text{Tip}(g)b \neq 0$, the element $y = x - \alpha g b \in J$ and $\text{Tip}(y)$ strictly less than $\text{Tip}(x)$. Hence, by induction, we get that x has required decomposition (14) with $\text{Tip}(g_j)b_j \neq 0$ for all j . By Lemma 9 we get that $g_j b_j \in \ker B_{L_k}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, s$ and consequently $x \in \ker B_{L_k}$. Thus ϕ is injective. By (12) we also have

$$(15) \quad L_k(p) = L_k(\phi^{-1}(p + J)) \text{ for all } p \in V_{2k}.$$

Thus putting $L(p) = L_k(\phi^{-1}(p + J))$ for $p \in A$ we get an extension of L_k .

Let us show that $\ker B_L = J$. For every $p \in J$ and $q \in A$ we have $pq \in J$, thus $B_L(p, q) = 0$. This implies that $J \subseteq \ker B_L$. For the converse inclusion consider $q \in \ker B_L$ and take $p \in V_k$ such that $p - q \in J$. Since $J \subseteq \ker B_L$ we have $p \in \ker B_L \cap V_k$. Hence $p \in \ker B_{L_k} \subseteq J$ and we conclude that $\ker B_L = J$.

For any $p_1, p_2 \in A$ take $q_1, q_2 \in V_k$ such that the elements $d_j = p_j - q_j$ belong to V_k . Then $L(d_1 p_2^*) = 0$, which implies $L(p_1 p_2^*) = L(q_1 p_2^*)$. Analogously $L(q_1 d_2^*) = 0$, which implies $L(q_1 p_2^*) = L(q_1 q_2^*)$ and we conclude that $L(p_1 p_2^*) = L_k(q_1 q_2^*)$. From this follows that L is hermitian and positive definite if L_k is such.

Let us prove uniqueness. Let $L' \in A^*$ be a linear extension of L_k to A such that $\text{rank } B_{L'} = \text{rank } B_{L_k}$. The subspace $\ker B_{L'} \cap V_k = \{v \in V_k \mid L'(vp^*) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in A\}$ is clearly contained in $\ker B_{L'_k}$ which is equal to $\ker B_{L_k}$ since L is an extension of L_k . Thus we have the following diagram

$$(16) \quad A / \ker B_{L'} \xleftarrow{i} V_k / \ker B_{L'} \cap V_k \xrightarrow{\pi} V_k / \ker B_{L_k} \cong A / \ker B_L$$

The dimensions in the above diagram is decreasing from left to right and we get that $\ker B_{L'} \cap V_k = \ker B_{L_k}$ and i is surjective. From this follows that for any $p \in A$ there exists $q \in V_k$ such that $p - q \in \ker B_{L'}$. Hence $L'(p) = L'(q) = L_k(q)$. $\square$

Lemma 12. *Let $L_k \in V_{2k}^*$ be hermitian. Decompose B_{L_k} into the block-matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^* & B \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $V_k = V_{k-1} \oplus \text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1})$. Then L_k is flat if and only if

$$\text{Ran}(C) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A) \text{ and } B = C^*(A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}C.$$

If $B_{L_{k-1}}$ is positive semidefinite then so is B_{L_k} .

Proof. Assume first that L_k is flat. By (10) for every $b \in S_k \setminus S_{k-1}$ there is $v \in V_{k-1}$ such that $b - v \in \ker B_{L_k}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} Av &= Cb, \\ C^*v &= Bb. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of b generates $\text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1})$ which is a domain of C the first equation above means that $\text{Ran}(C) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A)$. If $\text{Ran}(C) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A)$ then the operator $(A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}C$ is well defined and $v = (A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}Cb$. Hence $Bb = C^*(A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}Cb$. Thus $B = C^*(A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}C$.

Assume now that $\text{Ran}(C) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A)$ and $B = C^*(A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}C$. For every $b \in S_k \setminus S_{k-1}$ we can put $v = (A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}Cb$. It follows that $V_k = \ker B_{L_k} + V_{k-1}$. We need to show that $\ker B_{L_{k-1}} \subseteq \ker B_{L_k}$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product on A such that $\mathcal{B}$ is an orthonormal basis. In particular $A_{b_1, b_2} = \langle b_1, Ab_2 \rangle$. If $v \in \ker B_{L_{k-1}}$ then $Av = 0$ hence $\langle v, Av' \rangle = 0$ for all $v' \in V_{k-1}$. Hence v is orthogonal to $\text{Ran}(A)$ and consequently to $\text{Ran}(C)$. Hence $\langle v, Cw \rangle = 0$ for all $w \in \text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1})$. Thus $B_{L_k}(v, w) = \langle v, Cw \rangle = 0$ and $\ker B_{L_{k-1}} \subseteq \ker B_{L_k}$. From this and $V_k = \ker B_{L_k} + V_{k-1}$ we get that $\dim \ker B_{L_k} = \dim \ker A + \dim \text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1})$. From this follows that nonzero eigenvalues of B_{L_k} are exactly nonzero eigenvalues of the matrix A . □

For the class of free $*$ -algebras some flat functionals can be obtained as extensions of tip-maximal functionals defined below. It is easier to construct tip-maximal functionals which will be used in examples.

Definition 13. Let us call a hermitian functional L_k tip-maximal if there is a generating set $p_1, \dots, p_r$ for $\ker B_{L_k}$. Such that projections the f_k of p_k on $\text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1})$ parallel to $\text{Span}(S_{k-1})$ is linearly independent. In particular, L_k is tip-maximal if B_{L_k} is non-degenerate.

Lemma 14. Every tip-maximal L_{k-1} on a free $*$ -algebra can be extended to a flat L_k . Moreover, if L_{k-1} is positive semidefinite then L_k is such.

Proof. We will construct matrix of L_k in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^* & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

First we need to define L_k on the words of length $2k-1$. This will define the corner C in the above matrix. Let us enumerate the words of length $k-2$ by $z_1, \dots, z_t$ and the words of length $k-1$ by $w_1, \dots, w_s$. By the definition of the tip-maximal functional we can find generators of $\ker A = \ker B_{L_{k-1}}$ of the form $\sum_i \alpha_i^{(m)} w_i - \sum_j \beta_j^{(m)} z_j$ ($m =$

$1, \dots, r)$ such that elements $f_m = \sum_i \alpha_i^{(m)} w_i$ are linearly independent. Thus for every word $v \in S_{k-1}$ we have

$$(17) \quad \sum_i \alpha_i^{(m)} L_{k-1}(w_i v) = \sum_j \beta_j^{(m)} L_{k-1}(z_j v).$$

S_{2k-1} , clearly, coincides with the set of words $w_i w$ where $|w| = k$ and $i = 1, \dots, s$. For each $w_i w$ we introduce a variable $x_{i,w}$ and for each $j = 1, \dots, t$ a constant $a_{j,w} = L_{k-1}(z_j w)$ ($z_j w \in S_{2k-2}$ hence $L_{k-1}(z_j w)$ is defined). And consider the system of linear equations

$$(18) \quad \sum_i \alpha_i^{(m)} x_{i,w} = \sum_j \beta_j^{(m)} a_{j,w}, \quad (m = 1, \dots, r).$$

Since the family $w_1 w, \dots, w_s w$ and the family $f_1, \dots, f_r$ are both linearly independent the matrix $(\alpha_i^{(m)})_{i,m}$ has rank r . Thus system (18) has a solution. Given a solution $x_{j,m}$ define

$$L_k(v) = \begin{cases} L_{k-1}(v) & \text{for } v \in S_{k-1}, \\ x_{i,w} & \text{for } v = w_i w \text{ with some } w \text{ such that } |w| = k. \end{cases}$$

Thus L_k is defined on V_{2k-1} . Hence the operator $C: \text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1}) \rightarrow V_{k-1}$ is defined by the matrix with (v, w) -entry equal to $L_k(v w^*)$ where $v \in V_{k-1}$ and $w \in S_k \setminus S_{k-1}$. By the definition of $x_{i,w}$ we immediately have that

$$(19) \quad \sum_i \alpha_i^{(m)} L_k(w_i w) = \sum_j \beta_j^{(m)} L_k(z_j w).$$

for $w \in S_k \setminus S_{k-1}$. Since L_k is extension of L_{k-1} the condition (19) is satisfied also for all $w \in S_k$. Since for every generator $\sum_i \alpha_i^{(m)} w_i - \sum_j \beta_j^{(m)} z_j$ of $\ker B_{L_{k-1}}$ equation (17) implies (19) we get that the subspace generated by vectors

$$(L_{k-1}(z_1 v), \dots, L_{k-1}(z_s v), L_{k-1}(w_1 v), \dots, L_{k-1}(w_r v)),$$

where $v \in V_{k-1}$, contains the subspace generated by

$$(L_k(z_1 u), \dots, L_k(z_s u), L_k(w_1 u), \dots, L_k(w_r u)),$$

where $u \in \text{Span}(S_k \setminus S_{k-1})$. Thus $\text{Ran}(C) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A)$.

Since the words uv^* with $|u| = |v| = k$ are distinct for different pairs (u, v) they constitute a linearly independent family (which is $S_{2k} \setminus S_{2k-1}$). Thus we can define $L_k(uv^*) = B_{u,v}$ where $B = C^*(A|_{\text{Ran}(A)})^{-1}C$. By Lemma 12, L_k is flat and is positive semidefinite if L_{k-1} is such.

□

As a corollary we have the following

Theorem 15. *Every positive semidefinite truncated tip-maximal functional on a free $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F}$ has a positive semidefinite extension to $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Any positive semidefinite truncated tip-maximal functional L_k can be extended to a flat positive semidefinite L_{k+1} by Lemma 14 and then L_{k+1} can be extended again to a positive semidefinite L on $\mathcal{F}$ by Theorem 11.

□

Example.

Consider the $*$ -algebra $A = \mathbb{C} \langle x, x^* \mid x^2 = 0, x^{*2} = 0 \rangle$. Then $S_3 = \{x, x^*, xx^*, x^*x, xx^*x, x^*xx^*\}$. By direct calculation we have that any hermitian L_3 with real coefficients has a form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & a_3 & a_5 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & a_4 & 0 & 0 & a_6 \\ 0 & a_4 & a_5 & 0 & 0 & a_7 \\ a_3 & 0 & 0 & a_6 & a_8 & 0 \\ a_5 & 0 & 0 & a_8 & a_9 & 0 \\ 0 & a_6 & a_7 & 0 & 0 & a_{10} \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 12, L_3 is flat if and only if

$$(20) \quad a_9 = \frac{a_6^2 a_5 - 2a_3 a_5 a_8 + a_1 a_8^2}{a_1 a_6 - a_3^2},$$

$$(21) \quad a_{10} = \frac{a_5 a_8^2 - 2a_4 a_6 a_7 + a_2 a_7^2}{a_2 a_5 - a_4^2}.$$

One can check that L_2 is positive definite iff $a_2 > 0$, $a_6 > 0$, $a_2 a_5 > a_4^2$ and $a_1 a_6 > a_3^2$. Thus any positive L_2 can be extended to a positive semidefinite flat L_3 .

Example.

Consider the free $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C} \langle x, x^* \rangle$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_+$ the set of words of the form ww^* with w is an arbitrary word in generators x and x^* . It was shown in [Pop09] that there are faithful positive functionals on $\mathcal{F}$ (as well as on any Lance-Tapper $*$ -algebra) of the form $a \mapsto F(\Pi(a))$ where $\Pi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is linear extension of the map $\Pi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\Pi(w) = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{B}_+ \\ 0 & \text{if } w \notin \mathcal{B}_+ \end{cases}$$

and $F: \mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional on subspace generated by $\mathcal{B}_+$.

Assume that $L_4 \in V_8^*$ is such that

$$(22) \quad L_4|_{V_7} \text{ is of the form } F(\Pi|_{V_7}) \text{ for some functional } F \in V_7^*$$

and has a block matrix decomposition

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^* & B \end{pmatrix}$$

such that matrix A is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A_{11} = I_{14}$, the identity 14×14 -matrix, and $A_{22} = \text{diag}(2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 3, 0)$

$$A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By easy but routine calculation one can check that the form on $V_3 \times V_3$ defined by the matrix A comes from the functional of the form $F(\Pi|_{V_6})$ and is positive semidefinite. Condition (22) ensures that the matrix C is completely determined by A .

In the decomposition $V_3 = A(V_3) \oplus \ker A$ the subspace $A(V_3)$ is

$$\text{Span}\{x, x^*, x^2, xx^*, x^*x, x^{*2}, x^3, x^2x^*, xx^*x, xx^{*2}, x^*x^2, x^*xx^*, x^{*2}x\}$$

and $\ker A = \text{Span}\{x^{*3}\}$. The matrix A is chosen such that it is positive semidefinite tip-maximal and annihilates x^{3*} . The matrix B and L_4 can be completely determined by Lemma 12.

The linear basis of the $\ker B_{L_4}$ is the following set H

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{*3}, x^4, x^3x^* - x^2, x^2x^*x - 2x^2, x^2x^{*2}, xx^*x^2, (xx^*)^2 - 3xx^*, xx^{*2}x - xx^*, xx^{*3}, \\ & x^*x^3, xx^{*3}, x^*x^3, x^*x^2x^* - x^*x, (x^*x)^2 - 2x^*x, x^*xx^{*2}, x^{*2}x^2, x^{*2}xx^* - 3x^{*2}, x^{*3}x, x^{*4} \end{aligned}$$

A right Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of the right ideal J generated by $\ker B_{L_4}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{*3}, x^4, x^3x^* - x^2, x^2x^*x - 2x^2, x^2x^{*2}, xx^*x^2, (xx^*)^2 - 3xx^*, xx^{*2}x - xx^*, xx^{*3}, \\ & x^*x^3, x^*x^2x^* - x^*x, (x^*x)^2 - 2x^*x, x^*xx^{*2}, x^{*2}x^2, x^{*2}xx^* - 3x^{*2} \end{aligned}$$

The only reductions we have made to compute the above Gröbner basis starting from H are the reductions of $x^{*3}x$ and x^{*4} to zero.

The positive definite form $\tilde{B}_{L_4}$ is the form with a matrix $\tilde{A}$ in the basis consisting of cosets of $\mathcal{F}/J$ with the representatives

$$x, x^*, x^2, xx^*, x^*x, x^{*2}, x^3, x^2x^*, xx^*x, xx^{*2}, x^*x^2, x^*xx^*, x^{*2}x.$$

The matrix $\tilde{A}$ is obtained from the matrix A_{11} by deleting the first row and first column. Operators R_x and R_{x^*} of multiplications from the right by x and x^* define mutually adjoint operators $\tilde{R}_x$ and $\tilde{R}_{x^*}$ in the Hilbert space of right cosets $\mathcal{F}/J$ with inner product given by $\tilde{A}$. Thus we get 13 dimensional representation π of the free $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{F}$. It can be checked that $\ker \pi \cap V_4$ is linearly generated by the following elements:

$$x^3, -5x^{*2} + 2x^*xx^{*2} + x^{*2}xx^*, x^*x^2x^* - xx^{*2}x.$$

In particular, π defines a representation of Lance-Tapper $*$ -algebra

$$\mathbb{C} \langle x, x^* \mid x^3 = 0, x^{*3} = 0 \rangle.$$

REFERENCES

- [Avi82] D. Avitzour, *Free products of C^* -algebras*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), 423–436.
- [Fia91] R. Curto L. Fialkow, *Recursiveness, positivity, and truncated moment problems*, Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), no. 4, 603–635.
- [Fia96] ———, *Solution of the truncated complex moment problem for flat data*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1996), no. 568, x+52.
- [Fia05] ———, *Truncated K -moment problems in several variables*, J. Operator Theaory **54** (2005), 101–138.
- [Fia08] ———, *An analogue of the Riesz-Haviland theorem for the truncated moment problem*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), 2709–2731.
- [Gre00] E. Green, *Multiplicative bases, Gröbner bases and right Gröbner bases*, J. Symbolic Computations **29** (2000), 601–623.
- [Hel02] J. W. Helton, *Positive polynomials are sums of squares*, Ann. Math. **56** (2002), 675–694.
- [Lan97] C. Lance, *Finitely-presented c^* -algebras*, Operator algebras and applications (Samos, 1996), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 495, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 255–266.
- [Lau05] M. Laurent, *Revisiting two theorems of Curto and Fialkow on moment matrices*, Proc. AMS **133** (2005), no. 10, 2965–2976.
- [Lau08] ———, *Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials*, Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry (M. Putinar S. Sullivant, ed.), IMA, vol. 149, 2008, pp. 2965–2976.
- [Pop02] S. Popovych, *Monomial C^* -algebras and Tapper’s conjecture*, Methods of Funct. Anal. and Topology (2002), no. 1, 70–75.
- [Pop09] ———, *On O^* -representability and C^* -representability of $*$ -algebras*, Houston Journ. Math. **35** (2009), no. 4.
- [Put04] J. W. Helton S. McCullough M. Putinar, *A non-commutative Positivstellensatz on isometries*, J. reine angew. Math. **568** (2004), 71–80.
- [Sch08] K. Schmüdgen, *Noncommutative real algebraic geometry - some basic concepts and first ideas*, Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry (M. Putinar S. Sullivant, ed.), IMA, vol. 149, Springer, 2008.
- [Tap99] Paul Tapper, *Embedding $*$ -algebras into C^* -algebras and C^* -ideals generated by words*, J. Operator Theaory **41** (1999), 351–364.